

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,343	02/26/2002	Kenichi Ueyama	219735US3	4098	
22850 7:	2850 7590 12/23/2003			EXAMINER'	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			COMSTOCK, DAVID C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TALEKNOMBER	
			3732 DATE MAILED: 12/23/200	, 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

5	/
_	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/082,343	UEYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
£.`	David Comstock	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a i - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
,_	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicatio	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 <i>February 2002</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
, , , , , , ,	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
•	Examiner, Note the attached O	file Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		40() () (0				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec	ication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	ist of the certified copies not recestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 first sentence of the specification	19(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet.				
 a) The translation of the foreign language 	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farmer (2,497,301).

Farmer discloses a hair warming sheet 11 having heating parts in the center and a margin around the heating parts 24, 25. The sheet is wrapped around a user's head and secured with a tie 28, 29,31 (see Figs. 1 and 3). The device is used in a heat treatment method wherein a portion of the user's hair is exposed near the roots (apparent in Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farmer (2,497,301).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,343

routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3732

Farmer discloses the claimed invention except for the treatment preparation. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an oily compound and an organic acid since these are ingredients commonly found in ordinary conditioners. Since it is very common and well-known to use conditioners to treat hair, these materials would inherently play at least a small part in any subsequent heat treatment process, as with the device of Farmer. It would have been further obvious to provide a conditioner having a pH of 2 to 4.5 and an emulsified state broken at 55 to 60 degrees Celsius, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Comstock whose telephone number is (703) 308-8514.

D.C. Comstock December 15, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER